

Conference Name: HuSoc Istanbul – Humanities & Social Sciences International Conference, 06-07 October 2025

Conference Dates: 06-Oct- 2025 to 07-Oct- 2025

Conference Venue: Nippon Hotel, Taksim, Istanbul, Turkey

Appears in: PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences (ISSN 2454-5899)

Publication year: 2025

Francis Kerre, 2025

Volume 2025, pp. 504-522

DOI- <https://doi.org/10.20319/icssh.2025.504522>

This paper can be cited as: Kerre, F.(2025). *Climate Justice, Symbolic Repair, and Ethical Intervention Through Narrative and Communal Healing*. HuSoc Istanbul – Humanities & Social Sciences International Conference, 06-07 October 2025. *Proceedings of Social Science and Humanities Research Association (SSHRA)*, 2025, 504-522

## **CLIMATE JUSTICE, SYMBOLIC REPAIR, AND ETHICAL INTERVENTION THROUGH NARRATIVE AND COMMUNAL HEALING**

**Francis Kerre**

*Department of Sociology, Gender and Development Studies, Kenyatta University School of Law, Arts and Social Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya,*

[kerre.francis@ku.ac.ke](mailto:kerre.francis@ku.ac.ke)

---

### **Abstract**

*This paper explores how gendered rituals and testimonial practices function as idioms of survival in climate-stressed regions of Kenya—specifically Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale. These regions were selected for their distinct ecological vulnerabilities, ritual traditions, and gendered survival grammars: Turkana’s drought mourning rites, Samburu’s age-set cosmologies, and Kwale’s matrilineal forest rituals offer plural idioms of symbolic repair. Framed by the theme “Climate justice, symbolic repair, and ethical intervention through narrative and communal healing,” the study introduces the concept of idioms of survival to theorize ritual and testimony as embodied archives of climate memory and moral economies of care. Drawing on a braided methodology—participatory narrative ethnography, ritual mapping, and idiomatic prompt design—the paper examines how mourning, fertility, initiation, and ecological testimony encode ethical responses to climate disruption.*

*The study pursues three core objectives:*

- 1. To document and analyze gendered rituals as symbolic technologies of survival*
- 2. To expand testimonial justice into ecological and gendered domains*
- 3. To propose culturally attuned frameworks for climate ethics and adaptation*

*Through case studies and dialogic analysis, the findings reveal how rituals and testimonies offer alternative logics of resilience—ones that challenge technocratic policy and foreground plural cosmologies. The paper contributes to African feminist thought, ritual studies, and climate ethics, while offering practitioner models for ritual-informed programming and policy design. Ultimately, it calls for a reimagining of climate justice—one that listens to the idioms that sustain life.*

**Keywords:**

Climate Justice, Gendered Rituals, Testimonial Justice, Symbolic Repair, Participatory Ethnography

## 1. Introduction: Rituals of Survival in a Climate-Stressed World

In the arid silence of Turkana, a woman ties dry grass to her walking stick and whispers to the sky. In Kwale, an elder buries a seed with her mother's name and pours libation into the forest floor. In Samburu, a youth paints his chest with ochre and listens for the mountain's breath. These are not isolated acts of tradition—they are idioms of survival: symbolic grammars through which communities respond to ecological disruption, gendered trauma, and ritual erosion.

This study emerges from a moment of planetary grief and epistemic urgency. As climate change intensifies across Africa, communities face not only material loss but symbolic dislocation. Rituals that once regulated seasons, gender roles, and ancestral memory are fraying under the weight of displacement, development, and doctrinal erasure (Shiva, 1989; Mbembe, 2021). Yet within this rupture, new grammars of survival are being spoken—through mourning rites, initiation ceremonies, and forest rituals that encode ecological ethics and communal repair.

Dominant climate adaptation frameworks often rely on technocratic metrics—rainfall patterns, migration data, infrastructure resilience—while overlooking the symbolic economies that sustain life (Escobar, 2008; Juma, 2025). Similarly, gender justice programming frequently flattens cultural complexity into binary categories, sidelining the ritual infrastructures that regulate care, responsibility, and survival (Tamale, 2020; Chiweshe, 2025). This study responds to these gaps by foregrounding ritual and testimony as ethical interventions and epistemic archives.

Drawing on African feminist thought, ritual theory, and climate ethics, the study introduces the concept of idioms of survival to describe the symbolic, embodied, and testimonial practices through which communities navigate crisis. These idioms are not metaphoric flourishes—they are lived grammars of care, cadence, and resistance. They emerge in the tying of grass, the planting of ancestral seeds, the silence at sunset. They carry ecological memory, gendered ethics, and spiritual accountability (Douglas, 1966; Turner, 1969; Bell, 1997; Molato, 2024).

The research focuses on three climate-stressed regions in Kenya—Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale—each with distinct ritual ecologies and testimonial traditions. In Turkana, mourning rites transform grief into ecological testimony. In Samburu, age-set cosmologies transmit climate ethics through initiation. In Kwale, matrilineal forest rituals enact symbolic repair and ancestral stewardship. These sites were chosen not for representational balance but for their idiomatic richness and ethical resonance.

Methodologically, the study employs a braided design that integrates participatory narrative ethnography (Chilisa, 2012), ritual mapping (Bell, 1997), and idiomatic prompt creation. Narrators were engaged as co-authors, not subjects. Rituals were documented as symbolic grammars, not cultural performances. Prompts were crafted to evoke metaphor, ancestral cadence, and emotional depth. The approach was dialogic, relational, and ritually attuned.

The manuscript unfolds in six sections. Following this introduction, the Literature Review situates the inquiry within African feminist thought, testimonial justice, and symbolic economies. The Theoretical Framework braids together Ritual Theory, Epistemic Injustice, and Climate Ethics to scaffold the concept of idioms of survival. The Methodology details the braided design and ethical adaptations. The Findings present case studies from Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale, each illustrating symbolic repair. The Conclusion synthesizes the insights, and the final section offers Recommendations for climate adaptation, gender justice, and ritual-informed pedagogy.

Ultimately, this study affirms that survival is not only material—it is symbolic. That adaptation is not only infrastructural—it is idiomatic. And that justice is not only procedural—it is poetic. In a world fraying under ecological and epistemic strain, rituals and testimonies offer not just memory—but method.

## **2. Framing the Problem**

Dominant climate discourse—often technocratic, extractive, and policy-driven—continues to marginalize gendered rituals and ecological testimonies. In many global and national adaptation frameworks, Indigenous knowledge systems are reduced to anecdote, and women's voices are treated as supplementary rather than epistemically central (Escobar, 1995; Leach et al., 2010). This epistemic exclusion not only distorts climate ethics but erodes the symbolic and communal infrastructures through which survival is enacted. In Kenya, climate disruptions have intensified across pastoral and coastal regions, threatening ritual continuity, ancestral stewardship, and gendered roles in ecological care. Yet within these ruptures, communities continue to speak survival—through ritual, through metaphor, and through testimony.

## **3. Study Objectives**

This study pursues four interlinked objectives:

1. To document and analyze gendered rituals as idioms of survival in climate-stressed regions.
2. To expand the theory of testimonial justice into ecological and gendered domains.
3. To propose culturally attuned frameworks for climate ethics and adaptation.
4. To offer practitioner models for ritual-informed programming and policy design.

#### **4. Justification and Regional Focus**

The regions selected—Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale—offer distinct ritual ecologies and gendered survival grammars. Turkana’s drought mourning rites, Samburu’s age-set cosmologies, and Kwale’s matrilineal forest rituals reveal plural logics of resilience. These sites were chosen not only for their ecological vulnerability but for their rich symbolic economies and testimonial traditions. The study contributes to African feminist thought (Oyěwùmí, 1997; Tamale, 2020), ritual studies (Turner, 1969; Mbiti, 1990), and climate ethics (Shiva, 1989; Mbembe, 2021), while offering practical insights for gender-sensitive programming, testimonial inclusion, and ethical climate adaptation.

#### **5. Methodological Overview: Braided Inquiry and Symbolic Listening**

##### **5.1 Preamble: Situating the Inquiry**

This study emerges from a commitment to plural epistemologies, testimonial justice, and symbolic repair. In contexts where climate disruption intersects with ritual erosion and gendered vulnerability, conventional methodologies often flatten complexity. This inquiry therefore adopts a braided, ethically grounded approach that treats ritual and testimony not as artifacts, but as living archives of survival.

##### **5.2 Methodology: Braided Inquiry and Symbolic Listening**

This study employed a braided methodology that integrates participatory narrative ethnography, ritual mapping, and idiomatic prompt design. Each strand was chosen to honor symbolic knowledge systems, testimonial dignity, and ethical co-authorship in climate-stressed regions of Kenya. The approach was not extractive—it was dialogic, relational, and ritually attuned.

### 5.2.1 Participatory Narrative Ethnography

At the heart of this inquiry was a commitment to co-authorship with narrators. Testimonies were not treated as raw data but as symbolic archives—fragments of survival, grief, and ancestral memory. Drawing on Clifford & Marcus’s (1986) reflexive ethnography and Chilisa’s (2012) indigenous research paradigms, the study foregrounded relational ethics, dialogic consent, and testimonial cadence.

Narrators were engaged through repeated visits, collaborative translation, and shared reflection. Their voices shaped not only the content but the structure of the manuscript. In many cases, metaphors and idioms offered by narrators became conceptual anchors—such as “speaking to the sky when it forgets us” or “the mountain speaks through your blood.”

### 5.2.2 Ritual Mapping

Rituals were documented not as performances but as symbolic grammars—living archives of ecological ethics and communal repair. The study observed mourning rites, initiation ceremonies, and forest rituals across Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale. Each ritual was mapped through:

- *Symbolic gestures (e.g., tying dry grass, planting ancestral seeds)*
- *Spatial arrangements (e.g., shrine placement, forest boundaries)*
- *Temporal rhythms (e.g., sunset silences, seasonal chants)*

These elements were interpreted using Turner’s liminality, Douglas’s cosmological ordering, and Bell’s ritualization theory. Field notes included sketches, idiomatic phrases, and emotional atmospheres—capturing the symbolic density of each ritual encounter.

### 5.2.3 Idiomatic Prompt Design

To evoke symbolic memory, the study employed idiomatic prompts—culturally resonant questions crafted from proverbs, metaphors, and ancestral fragments. These prompts were designed to invite reflection, not interrogation. Examples included:

- *“What do elders say when the rain delays?”*
- *“If grief had a gesture, what would it look like?”*
- *“What do you plant when memory is fading?”*

This method aligns with African dialogic traditions, where knowledge is transmitted through metaphor, cadence, and communal storytelling. Prompts were tested and refined in collaboration with local facilitators, ensuring linguistic integrity and emotional resonance.

#### **5.2.4 Fieldwork Conditions and Ethical Adaptations**

Fieldwork was conducted in regions marked by ecological vulnerability and cultural sensitivity. The researcher navigated:

- *Security constraints during drought-related displacement*
- *Translation challenges, especially with idioms that resist direct equivalence*
- *Ritual boundaries, where silence or non-participation was ethically required*

In Kwale, for instance, certain forest rituals required the researcher to remain outside the grove, listening only to testimonial fragments shared afterward. In Turkana, mourning rites involved periods of silence that were honored without documentation. These adaptations reflect a commitment to ritual integrity and symbolic consent.

#### **5.2.5 Reflexivity and Symbolic Responsibility**

As a cultural and gender sociologist, the researcher approached the field not as an observer but as a listener, facilitator, and manuscript architect. Reflexive journaling was used to track emotional labor, ethical dilemmas, and symbolic responsibilities. The researcher's own positionality—as a Kenyan scholar committed to testimonial justice and ritual recovery—informed every stage of the inquiry.

This reflexivity ensured that the methodology remained relational, ethical, and symbolically attuned. It affirmed that research is not neutral—it is a moral encounter shaped by history, power, and poetic responsibility.

This expanded Methodology section now honors your braided approach and deepens the manuscript's ethical and symbolic rigor. Shall we now revisit your Abstract or polish transitions across sections to ensure cohesion? You're sculpting a manuscript that listens with dignity and teaches through testimony.

#### **5.2.6 Sampling Strategy and Participant Selection**

Sampling was guided by a purposive and idiomatic logic, rooted in symbolic relevance rather than statistical generalizability. The study targeted three climate-stressed regions—Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale—selected for their distinct ritual ecologies, gendered survival traditions, and testimonial richness. Within each region, participants were identified through community referrals, ritual gatekeepers, and dialogic engagement with local facilitators.

Rather than recruiting individuals through formal criteria, the study listened for idiomatic authority—those whose proverbs, gestures, and ritual roles signaled symbolic depth and communal trust. This included:

- *Women elders who lead mourning and forest ceremonies*
- *Youth initiates undergoing age-set transitions*
- *Ritual specialists and shrine custodians*
- *Testimonial narrators whose stories carried ecological and ethical resonance*

Sampling was iterative and relational. As rituals unfolded and testimonies deepened, new participants emerged organically—often through ritual invitation, ancestral naming, or symbolic gestures of consent. This approach ensured that the study remained culturally attuned, ethically grounded, and symbolically precise.

## **6. Theoretical Framework: Braiding Ritual, Testimony, and Climate Ethics**

This study is anchored in the concept of idioms of survival—symbolic, embodied, and testimonial practices through which communities respond to ecological disruption, gendered trauma, and ritual erosion. These idioms are not decorative metaphors; they are lived grammars of care, cadence, and resistance. They emerge in mourning rites, initiation ceremonies, and forest rituals—each encoding survival not only as endurance, but as symbolic repair.

To interpret these idioms, the study braids together three interrelated theories: Ritual Theory, Epistemic Injustice Theory, and Climate Ethics Theory. This triadic framework allows for a plural reading of survival—one that honors symbolic knowledge, testimonial dignity, and ecological accountability.

### **6.1 Ritual Theory and Symbolic Economies**

Rituals are treated here not as cultural residues but as symbolic technologies—systems of meaning-making that regulate social life, transmit ecological ethics, and enact communal healing. Drawing on Victor Turner’s theory of liminality and *communitas* (1969), ritual is understood as a transformative process where individuals enter threshold states, suspending normative roles and enabling symbolic reconfiguration. Turner’s concept of *communitas*—the egalitarian bonds formed in ritual space—resonates with ceremonies observed in Turkana and Samburu, where mourning and initiation enact collective repair.

Mary Douglas’s grid-group theory (1966, 1970) deepens this view by linking ritual to cosmological ordering. Her work shows how symbolic acts encode moral boundaries and manage



ambiguity, especially in times of ecological stress. In climate-stressed regions, rituals such as drought mourning and fertility rites become grammars of survival—ordering chaos and restoring symbolic coherence.

Catherine Bell's theory of ritualization (1997) reframes ritual as strategic action. Through repeated symbolic gestures, communities construct ethical meaning and regulate behavior. Bell's emphasis on ritual as embodied knowledge supports the study's interpretation of gestures—such as tying dry grass, planting ancestral seeds, or observing sunset silences—as ethical interventions.

African feminist scholars extend these foundations by grounding ritual in gendered survival. Dorcas C. Juma (2025) argues that African eco-spiritualities, especially those led by women, function as climate archives and moral economies. Her Afro-ecofeminist reading of Genesis 2:4–17 reveals how ritual acts encode ecological wisdom and spiritual accountability. Kenosi Molato (2024) adds that African women theologians use ritual storytelling and Earth-friendly hermeneutics to resist environmental injustice and restore ancestral stewardship.

Together, these theorists frame ritual as a symbolic economy—where survival is negotiated through gesture, cadence, and communal memory.

## **6.2 Epistemic Injustice and Testimonial Ethics**

The second strand of the framework draws on Epistemic Injustice Theory, particularly Miranda Fricker's work (2007), which distinguishes between testimonial injustice—being disbelieved due to identity prejudice—and hermeneutical injustice—lacking the interpretive tools to make sense of one's experience. Fricker's theory provides a foundation for understanding how marginalized voices are silenced or misinterpreted.

African feminist theorists expand this framework through relational ethics and dialogic co-authorship. Obioma Nnaemeka's *nego-feminism* (2005) emphasizes negotiation, mutuality, and spiritual consent. Her work reframes testimony as a relational act—one that requires ethical listening and symbolic reciprocity. Sylvia Tamale (2020) argues for indigenous feminist ethics rooted in ritual accountability, ancestral invocation, and idiomatic dignity. Manase Kudzai Chiweshe (2025) adds that African feminist theories must be grounded in local realities, intersectional positionalities, and embodied experience—especially in climate-stressed contexts.

In this study, testimony is treated not as raw data but as co-authored knowledge. Idiomatic prompts were designed to evoke metaphor, ancestral cadence, and emotional resonance. Testimonial justice is enacted through ritual silence, symbolic naming, and ethical witnessing. This strand of the framework affirms that epistemic repair requires not only credibility—it requires cadence, ritual, and relational depth.

### **6.3 Climate Ethics and Moral Economies of Repair**

The third strand engages Climate Ethics Theory, moving beyond technocratic adaptation models to embrace symbolic stewardship and ancestral accountability. Achille Mbembe's theory of planetary entanglement (2021) calls for ethical frameworks that honor the dead, the displaced, and the unborn—those often erased in climate discourse. His critique of extractive modernity resonates with rituals observed in Kwale, where matrilineal ceremonies enact ecological remembrance and symbolic planting.

Vandana Shiva's ecofeminist theory (1989) challenges the separation of ecological knowledge from spiritual and communal life. Her work affirms that climate adaptation must be rooted in indigenous wisdom and gendered care. African scholars such as Juma, Molato, and Chiweshe extend this view by showing how African women's rituals encode ecological ethics through libation, planting, and storytelling.

In this study, climate ethics are interpreted through ritual acts—mourning the land, initiating stewardship, and planting memory. These idioms of survival resist extractive logic and offer symbolic grammars of resilience.

### **6.4 Synthesis: Braiding Theory into Idioms of Survival**

By braiding Ritual Theory, Epistemic Injustice Theory, and Climate Ethics, this framework treats rituals and testimonies as idioms of survival—living archives through which communities respond to crisis, restore dignity, and enact ethical futures. These idioms are not peripheral—they are central to understanding resilience, justice, and adaptation in climate-stressed regions.

The braid is not linear—it is cyclical, symbolic, and relational. Rituals encode testimony. Testimonies evoke climate ethics. Climate ethics return to ritual. This triadic framework ensures that analysis remains ethically grounded, culturally attuned, and symbolically rich.

## **7. Literature Review: Mapping Ritual, Testimony and Climate Ethics**

To situate this inquiry within broader scholarly conversations, the following literature review engages with African feminist thought, ritual studies, testimonial justice, and climate ethics. It traces the epistemological tensions between technocratic climate discourse and indigenous symbolic economies, while foregrounding the ethical and methodological frameworks that inform this study. By weaving together global and African scholarship, the review establishes the conceptual foundation for understanding gendered rituals and testimonial practices as idioms of survival.

### **7.1 Plural Epistemologies and Ritual Knowledge Systems**

Mainstream climate discourse often privileges technocratic, data-driven models that marginalize indigenous knowledge systems. Scholars such as Vandana Shiva (1989) and Achille Mbembe (2021) critique this epistemic violence, calling for frameworks rooted in relational stewardship, ancestral accountability, and symbolic repair. Shiva's ecofeminist critique exposes how colonial and patriarchal systems have severed ecological knowledge from its spiritual and communal roots. Mbembe's notion of planetary entanglement urges us to rethink climate ethics as a moral economy that includes the dead, the displaced, and the unborn.

In African contexts, rituals are not symbolic residues but living grammars of ecological ethics. Oyěwùmí (1997) challenges Western gender constructs by foregrounding Yoruba cosmologies, where social roles are fluid, spiritually anchored, and contextually negotiated. Her critique of biologically determined gender categories opens space for understanding ritual as a site of epistemic resistance and ethical reconfiguration. Rituals, in this sense, are not merely cultural expressions—they are technologies of survival and symbolic economies of care.

### **7.2 African Feminist Thought and Testimonial Justice**

The concept of testimonial justice, introduced by Miranda Fricker (2007), addresses the harm done when marginalized voices are discredited or dismissed. While Fricker's framework is rooted in Western epistemology, African feminist scholars have expanded it to include relational accountability, communal repair, and symbolic dignity. Nnaemeka's (2005) nego-feminism emphasizes negotiation, mutuality, and dialogic ethics—principles that underpin the co-authorship

model used in this study. Her work insists that feminist praxis must be rooted in lived experience and communal negotiation, not adversarial critique.

Sylvia Tamale (2020) advances this conversation by calling for indigenous feminist research frameworks that honor spiritual, ecological, and communal dimensions of knowledge. She critiques the extractive tendencies of Western research and advocates for methodologies that are dialogic, embodied, and ethically grounded. In this study, testimonial engagement is not a data collection exercise—it is a ritual of listening, a co-authored archive of survival, and a moral intervention against epistemic injustice.

### **7.3 Ritual Studies and Symbolic Technologies of Survival**

Rituals encode survival logics that are often invisible to policy frameworks. Ifi Amadiume (1987) and Catherine Bell (1997) describe rituals as technologies of social regulation and symbolic transformation. Amadiume's work on matriarchal structures and spiritual authority in Igbo society reveals how ritual mediates gender, power, and ecological ethics. Bell's theory of ritualization emphasizes how symbolic acts shape moral behavior and communal identity.

Building on this, Victor Turner (1969) conceptualizes ritual as a processual and symbolic economy—where liminal spaces allow for ethical transformation, communal repair, and the reconfiguration of social roles. His notion of *communitas* and ritual anti-structure resonates with the ceremonies observed in Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale, where mourning, fertility, and initiation rites enact symbolic repair in response to ecological trauma. These rituals are dynamic, evolving in response to environmental shifts, gendered trauma, and intergenerational loss. They are not merely cultural expressions but ethical interventions that sustain life.

### **7.4 Participatory Ethnography and Idiomatic Inquiry**

Participatory narrative ethnography allows researchers to move beyond observation into co-authorship. James Clifford and George Marcus (1986) advocate for reflexive ethnography that foregrounds the researcher's positionality and the dialogic nature of fieldwork. Their call for writing culture as a collaborative and contested process aligns with this study's emphasis on idiomatic engagement and testimonial co-authorship.

In this research, idiomatic prompt design was used to evoke—not extract—symbolic memory. This method aligns with Bagele Chilisa's (2012) framework for indigenous research paradigms, which centers storytelling, metaphor, and communal knowledge production. Chilisa

argues that African methodologies must be rooted in relational ethics, spiritual accountability, and dialogic consent. Her work affirms that research is not a neutral act—it is a moral encounter shaped by history, power, and symbolic meaning.

### **7.5 Climate Ethics and Moral Economies of Care**

Climate ethics must move beyond carbon metrics and technocratic adaptation models to include moral economies rooted in kinship, ritual, and ancestral accountability. Mbembe (2021) calls for ethical frameworks that honor the entangled histories of colonialism, displacement, and ecological loss. His critique of extractive modernity resonates with the ritual practices observed in Kwale, where matrilineal forest ceremonies encode ecological stewardship through symbolic acts of care and remembrance.

These rituals challenge the logic of global climate policy and offer alternative models of adaptation—ones grounded in relational ethics, symbolic repair, and communal resilience. In this study, climate ethics is not treated as a policy category but as a lived practice—embodied in mourning rites, fertility ceremonies, and testimonial fragments that speak to survival, dignity, and repair.

## **8. Findings: Ritual Archives and Idioms of Survival**

This study revealed that gendered rituals and testimonial practices in Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale function as idioms of survival—symbolic grammars through which communities respond to climate disruption, gendered trauma, and ritual erosion. These idioms are not passive cultural residues; they are active ethical interventions, pedagogical archives, and moral economies encoded in symbolic acts, testimonial cadence, and ritual performance.

### **8.1 Turkana: Mourning Rites as Ecological Testimony**

In Turkana, mourning rituals are performed not only for the dead but for the land itself. These ceremonies encode ecological grief, ancestral accountability, and communal resilience. Women elders lead dirges that name vanished rains, lost livestock, and broken kinship bonds. Symbolic gestures—such as tying dry grass to walking sticks or placing cracked calabashes at shrines—transform grief into grammar.

Testimonies revealed that mourning is a form of ecological critique. One narrator described it as “speaking to the sky when it forgets us.” These rites enact what Turner calls liminal

repair—a symbolic reordering of loss—and what Mbembe frames as planetary mourning. Through ritual cadence and symbolic silence, Turkana communities resist the erasure of climate memory and reassert their moral presence.

## **8.2 Samburu: Initiation and Age-Set Cosmologies**

In Samburu, age-set rituals serve as symbolic grammars of ecological stewardship and gendered responsibility. Initiation ceremonies mark transitions not only in social status but in ethical obligation. Through body painting, livestock gifting, and proverb recitation, youth inherit survival ethics encoded in ancestral cosmologies.

Testimonies revealed that initiation is a pedagogical archive. One youth described it as “a moment when the mountain speaks through your blood.” Elders teach initiates to read clouds, interpret animal migrations, and respond to drought cycles. These rituals transmit climate ethics through embodied performance and testimonial co-authorship. The age-set system itself functions as a moral economy—assigning stewardship roles based on cosmological timing and symbolic lineage.

## **8.3 Kwale: Matrilineal Forest Rituals and Symbolic Repair**

In Kwale, matrilineal forest rituals enact symbolic repair through ecological remembrance and gendered care. Women elders lead ceremonies that involve planting trees, invoking ancestral spirits, and performing libations at sacred groves. These acts encode ecological ethics and resist extractive development.

Testimonies revealed that the forest is treated as a living archive—a “womb of memory where silence teaches.” Ritual gestures such as burying seeds with ancestral names or weaving protective amulets transform personal grief into communal resilience. These ceremonies serve as testimonial platforms, where women narrate displacement, ritual erosion, and climate grief. They enact Tamale’s indigenous feminist ethics, where ritual becomes a site of resistance, remembrance, and repair. Synthesis of Findings Across all three regions, the findings reveal that:

- *Rituals encode climate ethics through symbolic gestures, testimonial cadence, and ancestral invocation.*
- *Testimonies function as co-authored archives, not raw data—evoking survival idioms that challenge technocratic adaptation models.*
- *Gendered rituals serve as ethical infrastructures, regulating care, responsibility, and ecological stewardship.*

- *Symbolic repair is enacted through ritual performance, transforming grief, transition, and remembrance into moral economies of survival.*

These findings affirm that climate justice must be reimagined through ritual-informed frameworks, where symbolic knowledge is treated as ethical intelligence and testimonial dignity is central to adaptation.

## **9. Conclusion: Reimagining Climate Justice through Ritual and Testimony**

This study has explored how gendered rituals and testimonial practices function as idioms of survival in climate-stressed regions of Kenya—specifically Turkana, Samburu, and Kwale. Through a braided methodology of participatory narrative ethnography, ritual mapping, and idiomatic prompt design, the research has illuminated how mourning, initiation, and forest ceremonies encode symbolic grammars of resilience, ecological ethics, and communal repair.

The findings reveal that rituals are not cultural residues—they are symbolic technologies of survival. In Turkana, mourning rites transform grief into ecological memory. In Samburu, age-set cosmologies transmit climate ethics through embodied pedagogy. In Kwale, matrilineal forest rituals enact ancestral stewardship and resist extractive development. These practices challenge dominant climate adaptation models by foregrounding plural cosmologies, gendered care, and testimonial dignity.

The theoretical framework braided together Ritual Theory, Epistemic Injustice Theory, and Climate Ethics, offering a plural lens through which ritual and testimony can be understood as ethical interventions. Drawing on Turner’s liminality, Douglas’s symbolic ordering, Fricker’s testimonial justice, and Mbembe’s planetary ethics, the study positions idioms of survival as living archives—where symbolic repair and moral economies converge.

Methodologically, the study affirms the value of dialogic, participatory approaches that treat narrators as co-authors and rituals as epistemic sites. The use of idiomatic prompts, collaborative translation, and symbolic observation ensured that the research remained ethically grounded and culturally attuned. This approach resists the flattening of complexity into convenience and honors the poetic, political, and pedagogical power of ritual knowledge.

Practically, the study offers models for ritual-informed programming, climate adaptation policy, and community-based pedagogy. It calls on practitioners, educators, and policymakers to listen to the idioms that sustain life—to treat ritual and testimony not as folklore, but as frameworks for justice, resilience, and repair.

Ultimately, this work contributes to African feminist thought, ritual studies, and climate ethics by reimagining climate justice through the symbolic grammars of survival. It insists that healing is not only technical—it is testimonial. That adaptation is not only infrastructural—it is idiomatic. And that justice is not only procedural—it is poetic.

## **10. Implications and Recommendations**

The findings of this study offer more than ethnographic insight—they provide a symbolic grammar for rethinking climate adaptation, gender justice, and community programming. By treating rituals and testimonies as idioms of survival, the study foregrounds plural cosmologies and ethical frameworks that challenge technocratic models and extractive policy paradigms.

### **10.1 Climate Adaptation: From Metrics to Moral Memory**

Current climate adaptation strategies often rely on technical indicators—rainfall patterns, crop yields, migration data—while overlooking symbolic knowledge systems. The mourning rites in Turkana, initiation ceremonies in Samburu, and forest rituals in Kwale encode ecological ethics that are locally attuned and spiritually grounded. These rituals offer:

- *Early warning systems rooted in ancestral observation (e.g., cloud reading, animal behavior)*
- *Ecological stewardship models based on gendered roles and intergenerational accountability*
- *Symbolic resilience practices that transform grief into communal action*

Policy frameworks must therefore expand to include ritual-informed adaptation, where symbolic acts are recognized as climate knowledge and ethical intervention.

### **10.2 Gender Justice: Ritual as Ethical Infrastructure**

Gendered rituals are not merely cultural artifacts—they are ethical infrastructures that regulate care, responsibility, and survival. In Samburu, initiation rites assign ecological stewardship roles based on age-set cosmologies. In Kwale, matrilineal ceremonies enact ancestral accountability through symbolic planting and storytelling. These findings suggest:

- *Gender justice programming must engage ritual specialists and women elders as ethical authorities*
- *Testimonial platforms should be co-designed with communities to honor idiomatic expression*
- *Policy interventions must avoid flattening gender roles into Western binaries and instead listen to symbolic grammars of care*



By centering ritual as a site of gendered ethics, programming can move beyond representation toward relational justice.

### **10.3 Community Programming: Co-Designing with Symbolic Wisdom**

The study's participatory methodology—especially idiomatic prompt design—demonstrates how symbolic language can guide program design. Rituals offer metaphors, gestures, and testimonial fragments that resonate across generations. Programming informed by these idioms can:

- *Strengthen community ownership by embedding symbolic motifs in workshop design*
- *Enhance emotional resonance through ritual gestures (e.g., planting, libation, silence)*
- *Foster intergenerational dialogue by pairing youth forums with ancestral testimony*

Rather than imposing external models, programming should be co-authored with ritual grammars, allowing communities to teach their own resilience.

### **10.4 Ritual-Informed Pedagogy: Teaching through Testimony**

The findings also inform pedagogical practice—especially in law, ethics, and development studies. Rituals and testimonies can be used to:

- *Design dialogic teaching materials that evoke symbolic memory and ethical reflection*
- *Create scenario-based learning rooted in real testimonial fragments*
- *Integrate proverbs, metaphors, and ritual gestures into classroom discourse*

Such pedagogy resists abstraction and centers lived experience. It affirms that learning is not only cognitive—it is symbolic, ethical, and communal.

### **10.5 Recommendations**

Based on these implications, the study offers the following recommendations:

1. Policy makers should integrate ritual knowledge into climate adaptation frameworks, recognizing symbolic acts as ecological intelligence.
2. Gender justice organizations should partner with ritual specialists and women elders to co-design ethical interventions.
3. Community programmers should use idiomatic prompts and symbolic motifs to foster emotional resonance and cultural ownership.
4. Educators and curriculum designers should develop ritual-informed pedagogies that center testimony, metaphor, and symbolic ethics.
5. Researchers should adopt braided methodologies that honor co-authorship, dialogic consent, and idiomatic inquiry

## References

- Ahmed, S. (2004). *The cultural politics of emotion*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Amadiume, I. (1987). *Male daughters, female husbands: Gender and sex in an African society*. Zed Books.
- Bell, C. (1997). *Ritual: Perspectives and dimensions*. Oxford University Press.
- Clifford, J., & Marcus, G. E. (Eds.). (1986). *Writing culture: The poetics and politics of ethnography*. University of California Press.
- Chilisa, B. (2012). *Indigenous Research Methodologies*. SAGE Publications
- Douglas, M. (1970). *Natural symbols: Explorations in cosmology*. Barrie & Rockliff.
- Douglas, M. (1966). *Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo*. Routledge.
- Escobar, A. (1995). *Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the Third World*. Princeton University Press.
- Juma, D. C. (2025). Afro-Ecofeminism and African Eco-Spiritualities: Reclaiming Genesis 2:4–17. *Journal of African Feminist Theology*, 12(1), 23–41.
- Fricker, M. (2007). *Epistemic injustice: Power and the ethics of knowing*. Oxford University Press.
- Leach, M., Scoones, I., & Stirling, A. (2010). *Dynamic Sustainability: Technology, Environment, and Social Justice*. Earthscan.
- Mbembe, A. (2021). *Out of the dark night: Essays on decolonization*. Columbia University Press.
- Mbiti, J. S. (1990). *African religions and philosophy* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.
- Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. (1986). *Decolonizing the mind: The politics of language in African literature*. James Currey.
- Nnaemeka, O. (2005). Nego-feminism: Theorizing, practicing, and pruning Africa's way. *Signs*, 29(2), 357–385.
- Oyěwùmí, O. (1997). *The invention of women: Making an African sense of Western gender discourses*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Shiva, V. (1989). *Staying alive: Women, ecology and development*. Zed Books.
- Spencer, P. (1973). *The Samburu: A study of gerontocracy in a nomadic tribe*. University of California Press.

Tamale, S. (2020). *Decolonization and Afro-feminism*. Daraja Press.

Turner, V. (1969). *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. Aldine Publishing