THE PROBLEM OF CHILDHOOD OBESITY AND THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS: A REVIEW
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Abstract

Obesity rates are at epidemic levels throughout the world, and this concerning trend has not been exclusive to adults. Throughout the world, the prevalence of childhood obesity has risen to epidemic proportions over the last fifty years. These children are at high risk for multiple comorbidities affecting all organ systems. In addition to the concerning impacts on health, the obesity-related costs and burdens of healthcare on society are staggering. While the problem is becoming increasingly recognized, much is needed to be done to protect America’s youth from growing obesity rates. As proponents of children’s health, it is thus the responsibility of primary care providers to understand, prevent, recognize, and manage childhood obesity.
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1. Introduction

Obesity-related behaviors are a leading cause of premature, preventable death in the United States, second only to smoking (Mokdad, Marks, Stroup, & Gerberding, 2004). Obesity is associated with many chronic diseases, including, but not limited to, hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, gallbladder disease, coronary artery disease, and hypercholesterolemia (Must et al., 1999). While these conditions typically affect adults, these comorbidities have become a growing concern in the pediatric population (Halfon, Larson, & Slusser, 2013).

Rates of obesity are at epidemic levels, and American children have not been exempt. Obese adults strongly influence the risk of childhood obesity, and obese children are at high risk for becoming obese adults (Koplan & Dietz, 1999). Overweight in children is defined as ≥85th percentile for age and sex, while obesity is ≥95th percentile and severe obesity is ≥99th percentile (Shields & Tremblay, 2010; Barlow, 2007). Primary care providers (PCPs) have a great responsibility to help children who suffer from this chronic disease; it is therefore essential that they gain knowledge regarding the topic to effectively manage and treat their patients.

2. Scope of the Problem

The prevalence of both adult and childhood obesity throughout the world has risen dramatically during the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Currently there are an estimated 43 million children under the age of 5 who are overweight or obese, a figure expected to rise to 60 million by 2020 (de Onis, Blössner, & Borghi, 2010). In 2014, more than 12% of American kindergarteners were obese, while nearly 15% were overweight. During that same year, 17% of eighth graders were overweight, with a startling one-fifth of students categorized as obese (Cunningham, Kramer, & Venkat Narayan, 2014).

Overall prevalence of high body mass index (≥ 85 percentile of CDC growth charts) for all children ages 2-19 has reached a staggering 32% (Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2014). These figures are in stark contrast to past estimates, as prevalence among 6-11 year olds has seen a 5-fold increase since the 1960s (Ogden et al., 2014; CDC, Ogden, & Carroll, 2010). Fortunately, prevalence of childhood obesity in the U.S. has stabilized at 17%, but remains unacceptably high (Ogden et al., 2014).
Many factors contribute to the obesity epidemic, reflecting the complex nature of the disease itself. Rare single gene defects in the leptin signaling pathway can cause obesity (Han et al., 2010). Genetic syndromes, such as Prader-Willi, WAGR, and Beckwith-Wiedemann, and endocrine disorders, including hypothyroidism, hypothalamic disorders, and cortisol excess, may be causative factors (Weiss & Lustig, 2014). Obesity can also be iatrogenic from certain medications. However, less than 5% of cases of childhood obesity can be attributed to a single, identifiable cause (Atay & Bereket, 2016). Rather, obesity remains a complicated interplay of genetics, epigenetics, society, behaviors, and environmental factors. Twin studies suggest a high heritability of adiposity (Maes, Neale, & Eaves, 1997). Epidemiologic studies of obesity reveal a higher risk in Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, and American Indian children (Gurnani, Birken, & Hamilton, 2015). Lower prevalence rates of obesity in the wealthiest American families suggest socioeconomic factors (Cunningham et al., 2014). Psychosocial elements are also involved, as obese children are more likely to have undergone a serious family crisis compared to overweight peers (Häkkänen, Ketola, & Laatikainen, 2016).

While the above factors attest to the complicated problem of obesity, they do not explain the rapid rise in obesity over the last 50 years. Americans now eat 800 more calories per day than they did 50 years ago (Perry & Creamer, 2014). The development of sweet corn-based syrups, increased vegetable oil consumption, food marketing strategies, relatively inexpensive cost of food in America, suppression of health information, and popularity of sugar-sweetened beverages have been speculated as likely factors in this increased intake (Perry & Creamer, 2014; Malik, Pan, Willett, & Hu, 2013). Moreover, behaviors related to reduced energy expenditure, such as duration of television watching, are associated with increased BMIs (Kaur, Choi, Mayo, & Harris, 2003). The content of TV advertising itself has also been shown to influence the short-term food preferences and requests of children (McGinnis, Gootman, & Kraak, 2006). Others have noted the use of motorized transport and decline in opportunities for physical recreation as challenging trends (Lobstein, Baur, & Uauy, 2004). Behaviors related to overscheduled lifestyles are also associated with obesity. Frequent family dinners and increased duration of sleep have both been identified as protective against high BMIs (Lobstein et al., 2004; Patel & Hu, 2008). Processed and take-out foods often contain ingredients that are calorie dense, and the consumption of fried foods outside the home is associated with weight gain in adolescents (Taveras et al., 2005). Additionally, restaurant meals and pre-packaged foods often
contain multiple serving sizes, and portion size has been shown to influence the amount of food consumed by research participants (National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention, 2006). Overall, societal trends towards higher caloric intake and lower energy expenditure seem to be a principal source behind the multifactorial obesity problem.

3. Effects of Childhood Obesity

   Obesity has implications for individuals, families, societies, and healthcare systems. Childhood obesity is responsible for $14 billion in direct costs for outpatient care alone (Trasande & Chatterjee, 2009). The complications of childhood obesity mirror those of adult obesity, and obese children have poorer health statuses compared to their peers (Halfon et al., 2013). Comorbidities of obesity include: sleep apnea, asthma, slipped capital epiphyses, tibial torsion, increased risk of fractures and sprains, idiopathic intracranial hypertension, NAFLD, and GERD (Lobstein et al., 2004; Maggio et al., 2014). Orthopedic complications are the most common encountered, but metabolic problems are seen in 42% of obese children and include insulin resistance, type II diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and thyroid dysfunction (Maggio et al., 2014). One third of obese children have cardiovascular comorbidities such as hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and accelerated atherosclerosis (Maggio et al., 2014; Lobstein et al., 2004; Freedman et al., 2008). PCOS and early menarche may also be seen (Lobstein et al., 2004).

   Importantly, obesity has psychological impacts on children. Obese children have lower self-worth regarding their physical competence, (Braet, Mervielde, & Vandereycken, 1997) and are subject to more bullying (Häkkänen et al., 2016). Anxiety, depression, bulimia, binge eating, ADHD, learning disabilities, and conduct disorders have been more commonly reported in obese children (Halfon et al., 2013). Furthermore, an estimated 24% of the variance in academic performance can be accounted for by BMI, physical activity, and diet (Sigfusdottir, Kristjansson, & Allegrante, 2006). Obesity has been shown to contribute to declining motor skills in children, which may have lifelong implications regarding patients’ abilities to be physical activity (Cheng et al., 2016). The comorbidities of obesity follow children into adulthood, and a high BMI is predictive of later morbidity and mortality in adulthood (Must & Strauss, 1999).

4. The Role of the Primary Care Provider (PCP)
Because of the multifactorial component of obesity, the most successful programs for lowering obesity rates in the United States will likely involve schools, communities, health care providers, families, and governmental regulations. PCPs are in the unique position to follow children’s health over frequent office visits, to partner with parents and influence family behaviors, and to advocate for policy change. While PCPs alone cannot solve the childhood obesity problem, assessment of obesity and obesity-related problems in children “falls squarely in the purview of health care providers” (Barlow, 2007, p. S164). At the peak of childhood obesity in 2004, providers identified obesity in only half of obese children, with particularly low identification rates among children under five (O’Brien, Holubko, & Reis, 2004). More can be done to battle childhood obesity, especially in primary care offices.

5. Prevention and Surveillance

The widespread consensus among researchers is that prevention is the best way to combat childhood obesity (Han et al., 2010). The means by which this can feasibly occur however, is less clear. Evidence supports the implementation of preventative measure by PCPs from before birth, as maternal obesity, smoking, and weight gain during pregnancy are all risks factors for the development of childhood obesity (Daniels, Hassink, & Committee on Nutrition, 2015). PCPs can support obstetricians in relaying messages regarding healthy pregnancy practices to their patients and patients’ families. In early infancy, exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months should be encouraged as it reduces the risk for obesity later in life (Weyermann, Rothenbacher, & Brenner, 2006).

The early years of a child’s life are critical in establishing behaviors that will carry into adulthood. The annual incidence of childhood obesity is highest among younger ages, (Cunningham et al., 2014) signifying the importance of early prevention. The AAP recommends that during the period of weaning and preschool age, PCPs should guide parents to establish healthy habits in their children. Sugar-sweetened beverages should not be offered, and 100% fruit juice should only be given in small portions, if at all. Foods should resemble the same variety as healthy adult diets. Parents should be encouraged to offer new foods multiple times, while avoiding controlling or restrictive food-related practices. PCPs should also counsel parents that children under age 2 should not have solo media use (Daniels et al., 2015).
In older children, the evidence supports a similar focus on modifiable risk factors. Expert committee recommendations support the following behaviors: minimizing sugar-sweetened beverages, eating a diet in line with USDA recommendations, avoiding skipping breakfast, limiting fast food and portion size, having meals as a family, promoting physical activity for 60 minutes a day, and removing screens from the areas that children sleep so that screen time can be monitored (Barlow, 2007).

PCPs face many challenges in the fight against childhood obesity. Parents often do not recognize high BMI in their own children, or may not understand associated health risks (Eckstein et al., 2006). They themselves may be obese and feel unmotivated by failed personal attempts. Parental buy-in and family support is essential in changing behaviors of children at high risk for developing obesity. Studies support the use of motivation interviewing in assessing readiness for change, identifying goals, and modifying behaviors (Schwartz et al, 2007; Taveras et al., 2011; Resnicow et al., 2015). PCPs can help encourage families to stay motivated, offer possible solutions to challenges, and praise successes. Furthermore, discussions should focus on nutrition and physical activity rather than weight (Perrin, Finkle, & Benjamin, 2007).

PCPs are responsible for monitoring their patients for risk factors and rising BMIs. At a minimum, BMI should be documented annually for every child ages 2-18 and functions as an initial screen (Barlow, 2007). For children under the age of 2, weight-for-length should be used with WHO growth charts (Daniels et al., 2015). Practitioners should interpret and act on BMI findings in the context of risks related to medical health, behaviors, and motivation of families (Barlow, 2007). Simple screening questions to parents about their children’s diet and physical activity or about their own level of knowledge regarding obesity risk factors can be useful to guide further discussions (Daniels et al., 2015; National Guideline Clearinghouse, 2013). In a 2007 report, Barlow (2007) outlines a “fifteen-minute obesity prevention protocol” (p. S164). This five-step process includes assessment of BMI and obesity-associated behaviors, setting an agenda to identify target behaviors, assessing motivation and confidence using motivational interviewing techniques, summarizing the plan for change, and scheduling follow up. While this protocol is likely not feasible for every child given time restrictions of office visits, it provides a framework for providers to abbreviate or expand upon based on the child’s risk for developing obesity and current BMI.
Primary care providers can also support efforts to combat obesity outside of the office. Behavioral and lifestyle interventions that are family-centered appear to be effective in reducing weight, and PCPs can advocate for such programs in schools and communities (Luttikhuis, et al., 2009). They can also promote government initiatives to encourage healthy behaviors and help change the public’s perception of unhealthy foods for children (Perry & Creamer, 2014). Training resources such as the AAP’s Change Talk website (Daniels et al., 2015) can ease providers’ feelings of inexperience in having these discussions with families (Jelalian, Boergers, Alday, & Frank, 2003). Learning about means of obtaining inexpensive access to healthy foods and physical activities can also arm providers with possible solutions to challenges that families face.

6. Management and Treatment

When prevention fails, PCPs are responsible for managing the disease. Any child with a BMI $\geq 85^{th}$ percentile and comorbidities should be treated (Han et al., 2010). The target outcomes of treatment depend on the age and severity of obesity. Younger children or those with relatively lower BMIs may be treated with the goal of weight maintenance. Older children, or younger children with BMIs $> 95^{th}$ percentile, warrant an aim of gradual weight loss. Aggressive weight loss should be reserved for obese children 12 or older, or for severely obese children between the ages of 6-11 (Barlow, 2007).

The mainstay of treatment is non-medical and focuses on all the same lifestyle modifications that are essential for prevention. Providers should thoroughly assess children for risk factors and associated comorbidities in order to target unhealthy behaviors. Research suggests that neither diet nor exercise alone is as effective as when used in combination (Ben Ounis et al., 2009). Children cannot be expected to make modifications alone, and have a better chance of being successful when there are household-wide changes. As with prevention, motivational interviewing is central in implementing treatment for children and parents. Office and provider based interventions focusing on motivational interviewing and education of families regarding nutrition and physical activity have been shown to be feasible (Schwartz et al., 2007) and effective in changing behaviors (Taveras et al., 2011; Siwik et al., 2013). Reviews of PCP mediated interventions demonstrate that PCP driven obesity treatment can be both successful and sustainable (Bhuyan et al., 2015).
Medical treatment may be necessary for severely obese children who fail non-pharmacologic management. Research on the use of medication for the treatment of obesity in children is limited. Currently, there are two weight loss medications FDA approved for children. Sibutramine, a serotonin reuptake inhibitor, is approved for children 16 years or older. Orlistat, an intestinal lipase inhibitor, is approved for children 12 years or older. While meta-analysis from randomized controlled data does indicate minor effectiveness of both orlistat and sibutramine when combined with lifestyle interventions, side effects may limit treatment tolerance (Luttikhuis et al., 2009). Surgical options do exist, and bariatric procedures are effective in decreasing BMI (Atay & Bereket, 2016). Because of the paucity of data regarding long-term outcomes and consequences though, bariatric surgery should be reserved for severe cases in adolescents with significant comorbidities. Furthermore, patients need to have failed lifestyle and pharmacologic intervention, as well as have achieved 95% of adult stature (Hsia, Fallon, & Brandt, 2012). Overall, more evidence is needed regarding these aggressive treatment options.

Currently, the AMA expert committee recommends that providers adopt a staged protocol in treating childhood obesity, a method that is also supported by both the AAFP and AAP (Rao, 2008; Barlow, 2007). The four identified stages are prevention plus, structured weight management, comprehensive multidisciplinary intervention, and tertiary care intervention. The first three stages center on modifying unhealthy behaviors, with each level adopting more detailed goals regarding diet and exercise, stricter restrictions on intake and sedentary time, and greater reliance on dieticians, exercise specialists, and/or established weight loss programs. The final stage, tertiary care intervention, incorporates medications and possibly surgery into treatment recommendations. Factors such as age, severity of obesity, existence of comorbidities, family readiness, and psychosocial dynamics can help guide the time spent in each stage (Barlow, 2007). The U.S. Preventative Services Task Force recommendations also support the identification of obesity through BMI screening, as well as the use of comprehensive behavioral interventions for treatment (Whitlock, O’Connor, Williams, Beil, & Lutz, 2010).

7. Challenges and the Future

The speed by which the childhood obesity problem has grown appears to have slowed, but much work is needed to reverse the problem. As of now, the data remains mixed, although
supportive of interventions that focus on behavioral lifestyle interventions, involve parents, and are tailored to a patient’s age and situation (Luttikhuis et al., 2009). The heterogeneity of research itself may point towards the need for changes at all levels, including those of individuals, families, schools, communities, social trends, medical personnel, and governments. As defenders of children’s health, primary care providers have a responsibility to prevent, recognize, and manage childhood obesity in their patients.
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