

Bandar Mohammad Al-Garni, 2018

Volume 4 Issue 3, pp. 60-71

Date of Publication: 16th November, 2018

DOI-<https://dx.doi.org/10.20319/lijhls.2018.43.6071>

This paper can be cited as: Al-Garni, B. M. (2018). *Analysis and Review of Prescribing Clinical Decision Support System within the Context of NHS Secondary Sector*. LIFE: International Journal of Health and Life-Sciences, 4(3), 60-71.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

ANALYSIS AND REVIEW OF PRESCRIBING CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF NHS SECONDARY SECTOR

Bandar Mohammad Al-Garni

Medical School, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom

bander004@hotmail.com

Abstract

Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) is an important and emerging area of research. Therefore, the study of CDSS form an important field of information technology (IT) used in health care industry. Diagnosis and decision making form an integral part of CDSS. Based on the healthcare data and patient's medical history, CDSS performs recommendation, which helps healthcare professionals to diagnose properly and make clinical decisions accordingly. In this paper, we present analysis and review of prescribing CDSS within the context of the secondary sector of the United Kingdom (UK) National Health Service (NHS). It was observed that prescribing CDSS enhances the safety of patients by reducing medication errors that occur during traditional prescribing (non-electronic prescribing). The reduction of medical errors is due to the efficiency of prescribing CDSS in facilitating health care providers with a holistic view of patient health records and any drug allergy or drug-drug interactions. This study showed that successful adoption of CDSS within the NHS secondary sector faces challenges such as physician acceptance, training, and interoperability.

Keywords

E-Prescribing, CDSS, NHS, Secondary Sector, Safety, Training, Interoperability

1. Introduction

During the last five decades, Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) have emerged largely as tools that assist clinicians, mainly doctors, with a number of standard decision tasks, such as formulation of a diagnosis, prescription of a medication, interpretation of a diagnostic result or therapy planning (Belard et al., 2017; Larburu et al., 2017). CDSS also constitute an application of the idea of knowledge management in the context of healthcare sector to manage information transfer between the correct persons at the correct time (Peleg and Tu, 2006; Zheng, 2017). This is fulfilled by equipping health care providers with the information they require in order to assist them in the decision making process (Woodsley, Whyte, Mohamadi, & Romero, 2016).

In this paper, we will discuss the electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) method of CDSS in order to examine the process of e-prescribing within the setting of NHS secondary care (Ahmed, McLeod, Barber, Jacklin & Franklin, 2013). Examining prescribing CDSS is particularly necessary as, despite the UK government continuously supporting the adoption of technology in the NHS, CDSS within the context of NHS secondary health care is still limited (Ahmed et al., 2013; England NHS, 2013).

The study presented in this paper argues that the process of managing medical information through prescribing CDSS leads to improved safety amongst health care receivers due to minimisation of the number of medical errors that occur (Bouidi, Idrissi & Rais, 2017; Eiermann et al., 2010). Nonetheless, this study argues that a successful implementation of CDSS must ensure physician acceptance of the system, training, and interoperability (Al-Badarneh, Najadat & Yabes, 2017; Nee et al., 2008).

2. The Role of CDSS in Reducing Medication Errors and Improving Patient Safety

The safety of patients is a common aim of practitioners within the context of secondary health care in the NHS (Garfield, Jani, Jheeta & Franklin, 2016). However, there is stark contrast in the pattern of prescribing between primary healthcare specialists on the one hand, and secondary healthcare practitioners on the other (Ahmed et al., 2013; Car et al., 2008). As such, while the former has ubiquitously adopted prescribing CDSS, its application by the latter is still patchy and slow (Garfield et al., 2016).

It may have negative impact on the safety of patients in the following ways: the centres of secondary healthcare gather an immense amount of electronic clinical data, such as

issues related to patient hospitalisations, demographic characteristics of healthcare receivers, their diagnosis, medical history, lab tests, previous and current drug prescriptions, and medical insurances (Moja et al., 2016; Moja & Kwag, 2015). Dismissing the adoption of computerised prescription will lead to medical irregularities, as doing so will hinder the ability of health care providers to obtain a comprehensive view of each health care receiver when carrying out clinical decision-making (Dowding et al., 2015). Thus, the clinical safety of the patient will not be properly shielded during prescription and monitoring of treatment, as well as during transcribing and administrating of medical cases (Moss & Berner, 2015).

Negative consequences due to prescription error also known as Preventable Adverse Drug Events occur because of errors in identifying the correct drug or/and the required dosage of the drug (Taylor, Loan, Kamara, Blackburn and Whitney, 2008). In other words, due to a lack of knowledge about the situation of the patient, healthcare providers will not be adequately informed about patient's health records (Taylor, Loan, Kamara, Blackburn and Whitney, 2008). Hence, the risk of prescribing drugs that cause allergic or other serious side effects is increased (Tan, 2006).

Prescribing CDSS is appropriate for the enhancement of outcomes when facing systematic challenges relating to clinical knowledge concerning the health records of patients (Kuperman et al., 2007). This method enriches the clinical knowledge of secondary care health providers through two steps (basic and advanced) (Afzal et al., 2015). In detail, the basic step is as follows; practitioners will be able to check the allergy as a side-effect of a drug, guidance regarding the dosage, interactions between various given drugs, and scrupulously examine the issue of duplicate therapy (Ungar et al., 2008). This basic knowledge is essential for clinical decision makers as it minimises medication errors by up to 64 percent, as indicated by Fortescue et al. (2003).

After considering the basic support delivered to decision makers by prescribing CDSS, clinical practitioners also have access to advanced decision support (Chang, Kao, Wu & Su, 2016). This covers issues such as support and checks for medication dosage amongst elderly patients, renal incapacity, pregnant women, contradictions between drug and disease, and guidance for lab tests. Advanced prescribing CDSS leads to 83 percent reduction in medication errors, as reported by Fortescue et al (2003).

Within the domain of prescribing, the most commonly occurring medical mistake is drug-dosage error (Axelsson, Spetz, Mellen and Wallerstedt, 2008). CDSS can properly tackle this issue through providing decision makers with the following essential information (i.e. dosage tolerance that is suitable for patients, identifying a suitable initial level of drug

dosage, substantially reducing variability of dosage, and giving recommendations about frequency of dosage) (Baiardini, Braido, Bonini, Compalati and Canonica, 2009; Kaushal et al., 2006; Sard et al., 2008). The benefit of prescribing CDSS's with the aforementioned information can be seen in the enhanced patient outcomes and improved health care provider performances (Sintchenko, Coiera and Gilbert, 2008).

In addition to the issue of dosage, prescribing CDSS plays a significant role in highlighting the issue of adverse interaction between drugs prescribed currently and those prescribed previously (Ekbia, and Hara, 2008). Nonetheless, the alerting role of prescribing CDSS regarding issues of medical interaction and allergy should be of use to the practitioners; otherwise, the warnings will likely be dismissed (Hughes and Blegen, 2006). Alerting practitioners to the presence of the aforementioned factors during the prescription process needs to be specific (Rodriguez-Loya & Kawamoto, 2016).

Specification in warnings means introducing fewer alerts; but, providing more significant, better detailed medical warnings in the alerts that are given (Oh, et al., 2015). Indeed, a large number of alerts can lead to practitioners discarding overlooking significant warnings, side-lining them with the numerous non-significant warnings (Yu, 2015). This crystallises a negative attitude amongst practitioners towards prescribing CDSS which, consequently, results in its dismissal for its perceived low credibility (Eslami, de Keizer and Abu-Hanna, 2008).

Thus, using prescribing CDSS, medical errors due to over reliance on inadequate medical data will be reduced (Maldonado, Leija & Vera, 2015). Therefore, the quality of healthcare in the NHS secondary sector will be enhanced due to an improvement in practitioner performance.

However, this study considers that the optimal implementation of prescription might be impeded by a number of issues such as the negotiation of obstacles facing physician acceptance and training, as well as the issue of interoperability, as will be discussed in the following sections.

3. Physician Acceptance and the Need for Training

Generally, if organisations are unable to customise the currently employed information system to fit with the strategies being used, then the result would be unfavourable performance, wasted resources, and lost opportunities (Galanter, Hier, Jao and Sarne, 2010). More specifically, CDSS faces challenges regarding its implementation in

sectors that significantly rely on team-based tasks, such as the NHS secondary care sector (Nicolini, Powell, Conville and Martinez-Solano, 2008). Amongst these challenges is the fact that a broad level of autonomy is given to clinicians within NHS secondary care, accompanied by an absence of roles that force clinicians to adopt prescribing CDSS leads to establish resistance to prescribing CDSS (Sintchenko, Magrabi and Tipper, 2007). Therefore, CDSS implementation would require considerable effort and a high level of commitment to unite medical team members, because differences in disciplines, cultures and organisation could lead to related challenges within the healthcare setting (Nevo, and Chan, 2007).

Moreover, from the technological perspective, since CDSS is a computerised program, the written guidelines into computer executable codes is found to be disadvantageous (Sirur, Richardson, Wishart and Hanna, 2009). Despite the development of tools for simplification of the processes, CDSS would however then require the best use of specialised skills and expertise in medicines and informatics to be successfully applied (Baig, Hosseini & Lindén, 2016).

These challenges are not exclusive, and are committed by vendors, doctors and physicians tasked with accomplishing the standards of interoperability (Fatima et al., 2015). Solving these challenges will certainly support the successful implementation of CDSS in secondary healthcare in correspondence to UK government efforts in developing and encouraging the adoption of informatics in secondary healthcare (Main et al., 2010). Tackling this issue requires the running of regular training courses in order to keep practitioners informed and updated about the latest developments in CDSS (Subramanian et al., 2007). However, training courses require both sufficient time and adequate budget, either of which might not necessarily be available (Khalifa & Alswailem, 2015).

4. Interoperability

Interoperability is referred to as an attribute of any service or system like CDSS; the interfaces of systems are completely understandable and involve exchanges between a range of products and a wide range of vendors in the improvisation of any system (Marcos, González-Ferrer, Peleg & Cavero, 2015). Interoperability increases the ability of IT practices, systems and software applications to exchange data and utilise information about patients in order to provide the best possible care services (González-Ferrer & Peleg, 2015).

CDSS introduces a number of services, such as a model for managing and storing clinical data, a method to warn health care providers of complex and problematic cases, and

several decision making tools to support practitioners (Parra-Calderón, 2015). In all decision support operations of the CDSS, there is a need for the application to be efficiently and easily integrated within other information system applications used in the health care setting (Chang et al., 2016).

For instance, within the context of NHS secondary care, CDSS should be properly integrated with the Electronic Health Records (EHR) system (Marcos, Maldonado, Martínez-Salvador, Boscá & Robles, 2013). Nonetheless, the level of interoperability is still shallow in the case of CDSS and EHR which, in turn, adversely impacts the safety of patients (Marcos et al., 2013). In detail, CDSS should provide correct, complete, and current data to the decision makers when they are carrying out the prescription process (Yourman, Concato and Agostini, 2008). These data are retrieved from the EHR of patients. However, according to Rodriguez-Loya & Kawamoto (2016), CDSS does not properly exchange data with EHR due to the existence of heterogeneous templates and keywords. Furthermore, there is a lack of availability of standardised reference models that have terminological binding (Peleg, and Tu, 2006).

Therefore, to assert the availability of correct, complete and current data for clinicians, there is a practical requirement for enhancing the issue of interoperability (Woosley et al., 2016). Currently, however, there is a tendency to increase the level of interoperability amongst various computerised health models (Zhang, Tian, Zhou, Araki & Li, 2016).

5. Conclusion

The NHS secondary care service relies on sharing information and effective communication as a way of fulfilling team based medical tasks. Accordingly, the adoption of CDSS as a knowledge management strategy helps in the decision making process within the context of health care, as it facilitates doctors with suitable information at the correct time.

This study showed that using CDSS for prescribing would improve the safety of the patients through its ability to reduce medication errors resulting from human factors. However, this study indicated that there is a need to examine the issue of physician acceptance to CDSS, training, and interoperability in order to assert efficient implementation of the CDSS. These findings are in conformity with other studies (e.g. Marcos et al., 2013; Subramanian et al., 2007). In order to increase the level of physician acceptance to the system, this study

referred to the importance of providing effective training courses. However, these courses need to be funded by the NHS secondary service.

In this paper, we have shown that CDSS has low level of interoperability with other health informatics programmes such as EHR, meaning clinical decision makers might be hindered in their ability to adequately obtain correct, complete and current data when carrying out their prescriptions.

References

- Afzal, M., Hussain, M., Ali, T., Hussain, J., Khan, W. A., Lee, S., & Kang, B. H. (2015). Knowledge-based query construction using the CDSS knowledge base for efficient evidence retrieval. *Sensors*, 15(9), 21294-21314. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s150921294>
- Ahmed, Z., McLeod, M. C., Barber, N., Jacklin, A., & Franklin, B. D. (2013). The use and functionality of electronic prescribing systems in English acute NHS trusts: a cross-sectional survey. *PloS ONE*, 8(11), e80378. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080378>
- Al-Badarneh, A., Najadat, H., & Yabes, E. (2017). An Adaptive Role-Based Access Control Approach For Cloud E-Health Systems. *LIFE: International Journal of Health and Life-Sciences*, 2(3), 26-37. <https://doi.org/10.20319/lijhls.2016.23.2637>
- Axelsson, M.A.B., Spetz, M., Mellen, A. and Wallerstedt, S.M. (2008), 'Use of and attitudes towards the prescribing guidelines booklet in primary health care doctors', *BMC Clinical Pharmacology* 8, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6904-8-8>
- Baiardini, I., Braido, F., Bonini, M., Compalati, E. and Canonica, G.W. (2009) 'Why Do Doctors and Patients Not Follow Guidelines?', *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 9(3), 228-233. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.0b013e32832b4651>
- Baig, M. M., Hosseini, H. G., & Lindén, M. (2016, November). Machine learning-based clinical decision support system for early diagnosis from real-time physiological data. In *Region 10 Conference (TENCON), 2016 IEEE* (pp. 2943-2946). IEEE.
- Belard, A., Buchman, T., Forsberg, J., Potter, B. K., Dente, C. J., Kirk, A., & Elster, E. (2017). Precision diagnosis: a view of the clinical decision support systems (CDSS) landscape through the lens of critical care. *Journal of clinical monitoring and computing*, 31(2), 261-271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-016-9849-1>
- Bouidi, Y., Idrissi, M. A., & Rais, N. (2017). Adopting an Open Source Hospital Information System to Manage Healthcare Institutions. *LIFE: International Journal of Health and Life-Sciences*, 3(3).

- Car, J., Black, A., Anandan, C., Cresswell, K., Pagliari, C., McKinstry, B., ... & Sheikh, A. (2008). The impact of eHealth on the quality and safety of healthcare. A Systemic Overview & Synthesis of the Literature Report for the NHS Connecting for Health Evaluation Programme. Retrieved on February 21, 2016:
<https://www1.imperial.ac.uk/resources/32956FFC-BD76-47B7-94D2-FFAC56979B74/>
- Chang, T. M., Kao, H. Y., Wu, J. H., & Su, Y. F. (2016). Improving physicians' performance with a stroke CDSS: A cognitive fit design approach. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 54, 577-586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.054>
- Dowding, D., Randell, R., Gardner, P., Fitzpatrick, G., Dykes, P., Favela, J., ... & Currie, L. (2015). Dashboards for improving patient care: review of the literature. *International journal of medical informatics*, 84(2), 87-100.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2014.10.001>
- Eiermann, B., Rahmner, P.B., Korkmaz, S., Landberg, C., Lilja, B., Shemeikka, T., Veg, A., Wettermark, B. and Gustafsson, L.L. (2010), 'Knowledge Bases for Clinical Decision Support in Drug Prescribing – Development, Quality Assurance, Management, Integration, Implementation and Evaluation of Clinical Value', in (Ed, Jao, C. S.), *Decision Support Systems*9.
- Ekbia, H.R. and Hara, N. (2008). 'The quality of evidence in knowledge management research: practitioner versus scholarly literature', *Journal of Information Science* 34(1), 110-126. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551507080412>
- England, N. H. S. (2013). Safer hospitals, safer wards: achieving an integrated digital care record. Leeds: NHS England. Retrieved February, 22 2017:
<http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/safer-hosp-safer-wards.pdf>
- Eslami, S., de Keizer, N.F. and Abu-Hanna, A. (2008). 'The impact of computerized physician medication order entry in hospitalized patients - A systematic review', *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 77(6), 365-376.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2007.10.001>
- Fatima, I., Halder, S., Saleem, M. A., Batool, R., Fahim, M., Lee, Y. K., & Lee, S. (2015). Smart CDSS: Integration of social media and interaction engine (SMIE) in healthcare for chronic disease patients. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 74(14), 5109-5129.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-013-1668-5>
- Fortescue, E. B., Kaushal, R., Landrigan, C. P., McKenna, K. J., Clapp, M. D., Federico, F., ... & Bates, D. W. (2003). Prioritizing strategies for preventing medication errors and

- adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. *Pediatrics*, 111(4), 722-729.
<https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.111.4.722>
- Galanter, W.L., Hier, D.B., Jao, C. and Sarne, D. (2010). 'Computerized physician order entry of medications and clinical decision support can improve problem list documentation compliance', *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 79(5), 332-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2008.05.005>
- Garfield, S., Jani, Y., Jheeta, S., & Franklin, B. D. (2016). Impact of electronic prescribing on patient safety in hospitals: implications for the UK. *The Pharmaceutical Journal: A Royal Pharmaceutical Society Publication*. Retrieved February 21, 2017 from: <http://www.pharmaceutical-journal.com/research/review-article/impact-of-electronic-prescribing-on-patient-safety-in-hospitals-implications-for-the-uk/20201013.article>
- González-Ferrer, A., & Peleg, M. (2015). Understanding requirements of clinical data standards for developing interoperable knowledge-based DSS: a case study. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 42, 125-136.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2015.06.002>
- Hughes, R.G. and Blegen, M.A. (2006). 'Medication Administration Safety', in (Ed, Hughes, R. G.), *Patient Safety and Quality, The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)* 430-460.
- Kaushal, R., Jha, A.K., Franz, C., Glaser, J., Shetty, K.D., Jaggi, T., Middleton, B., Kuperman, G.J., Krorasani, R., Tanasijevec, M., Bates, D.W. and Brigham Womens Hosp, C. (2006). 'Return on investment for a computerized physician order entry system', *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 13(3), 261-266.
<https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M1984>
- Khalifa, M., & Alswailem, O. (2015, July). Clinical Decision Support Knowledge Management: Strategies for Success. In *ICIMTH* (pp. 67-70).
- King, A.W. and Zeithaml, C.P. (2003). 'Measuring organizational knowledge: A conceptual and methodological framework', *Strategic Management Journal* 24(8), 763-772. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.333>
- Kuperman, G.J., Bobb, A., Payne, T.H., Avery, A.J., Gandhi, T.K., Burns, G., Classen, D.C. and Bates, D.W. (2007) 'Medication-related clinical decision support in computerized provider order entry systems: A review', *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 14(1), pp. 29-40.
<https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M2170>

- Larburu, N., Muro, N., Macía, I., Sánchez, E., Wang, H., Winder, J., ... & Séroussi, B. (2017, February). Augmenting Guideline-based CDSS with Experts Knowledge. In HEALTHINF (pp. 370-376).
- Main, C., Moxham, T., Wyatt, J. C., Kay, J., Anderson, R., & Stein, K. (2010). Computerised decision support systems in order communication for diagnostic, screening or monitoring test ordering: systematic reviews of the effects and cost-effectiveness of systems. *Health Technology Assessment* 14 (48) <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta14480>
- Maldonado, H., Leija, L., & Vera, A. (2015, October). Selecting a computational classifier to develop a clinical decision support system (CDSS). In *Electrical Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE), 2015 12th International Conference on* (pp. 1-3). IEEE.
- Marcos, C., González-Ferrer, A., Peleg, M., & Cavero, C. (2015). Solving the interoperability challenge of a distributed complex patient guidance system: a Data integrator based on HL7's virtual medical record standard. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, ocv003. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv003>
- Marcos, M., Maldonado, J. A., Martínez-Salvador, B., Boscá, D., & Robles, M. (2013). Interoperability of clinical decision-support systems and electronic health records using archetypes: a case study in clinical trial eligibility. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 46(4), 676-689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2013.05.004>
- Moja, L., & Kwag, K. H. (2015). Point of care information services: a platform for self-directed continuing medical education for front line decision makers. *Postgraduate medical journal*, 91(1072), 83-91. <https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2014-132965>
- Moja, L., Passardi, A., Capobussi, M., Banzi, R., Ruggiero, F., Kwag, K., ... & Vespignani, R. (2016). Implementing an evidence-based computerized decision support system linked to electronic health records to improve care for cancer patients: the ONCO-CODES study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Implementation Science*, 11(1), 153. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-016-0514-3>
- Moss, J., & Berner, E. S. (2015). Evaluating clinical decision support tools for medication administration safety in a simulated environment. *International journal of medical informatics*, 84(5), 308-318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.01.018>
- Nee, O., Hein, A., Gorath, T., Hu, N., Laleci, G. B., Yuksel, M., ... & Fruntelata, A. (2008). SAPHIRE: intelligent healthcare monitoring based on semantic interoperability platform: pilot applications. *IET communications*, 2(2), 192-201. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-com:20060699>

- Nevo, D. and Chan, Y.E. (2007). 'A Delphi study of knowledge management systems: Scope and requirements', *Information & Management* 44(6), 583-597.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2007.06.001>
- Nicolini, D., Powell, J., Conville, P. and Martinez-Solano, L. (2008). 'Managing knowledge in the healthcare sector. A review', *International Journal of Management Reviews* 10(3), 245-263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2007.00219.x>
- Oh, S., Cha, J., Ji, M., Kang, H., Kim, S., Heo, E., ... & Yoo, S. (2015). Architecture design of healthcare software-as-a-service platform for cloud-based clinical decision support service. *Healthcare informatics research*, 21(2), 102-110.
<https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2015.21.2.102>
- Parra-Calderón, C. L. (2015). Clinical decision support using a terminology server to improve patient safety. *Digital Healthcare Empowering Europeans: Proceedings of MIE2015*, 210, 150.
- Peleg, M. and Tu, S. (2006). 'Decision support, knowledge representation and management in medicine', *Methods of Information in Medicine* 45, 72-80.
- Rodriguez-Loya, S., & Kawamoto, K. (2016). Newer Architectures for Clinical Decision Support. In *Clinical Decision Support Systems*. Springer International Publishing.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31913-1_5
- Sard, B.E., Walsh, K.E., Doros, G., Hannon, M., Moschetti, W. and Bauchner, H. (2008). 'Retrospective evaluation of a computerized physician order entry adaptation to prevent prescribing errors in a pediatric emergency department', *Pediatrics* 122(4), 782-787. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-3064>
- Sintchenko, V., Coiera, E. and Gilbert, G.L. (2008) 'Decision support systems for antibiotic prescribing', *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 21(6), 573-579.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0b013e3283118932>
- Sintchenko, V., Magrabi, F. and Tipper, S. (2007). 'Are we measuring the right thing? Variables that affect the impact of computerized decision support on patient outcomes: a systematic review', *Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine* 32, 240-255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14639230701447701>
- Sirur, R., Richardson, J., Wishart, L. and Hanna, S. (2009) 'The Role of Theory in Increasing Adherence to Prescribed Practice', *Physiotherapy Canada* 61(2), pp. 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.3138/physio.61.2.68>
- Subramanian, S., Hoover, S., Gilman, B., Field, T.S., Mutter, R. and Gurwitz, J.H. (2007). 'Computerized physician order entry with clinical decision support in long-term care

- facilities: Costs and benefits to stakeholders', *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 55(9), 1451-1457. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01304.x>
- Tan, K.B.H. (2006). 'Clinical practice guidelines: a critical review', *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 19(2-3), 195-220.
- Taylor, J.A., Loan, L.A., Kamara, J., Blackburn, S. and Whitney, D. (2008). 'Medication administration variances before and after implementation of computerized physician order entry in a neonatal intensive care unit', *Pediatrics* 121(1), 123-128. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-0919>
- Ungar, J.P., Ungar, J.P., Gandhi, T.K., Poon, E.G., Manasson, J., Yoon, C., Orav, E.J., Johnson, R. and Newmark, L.P. (2008). 'Impact of ambulatory computerized physician order entry on clinicians' time', *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, 1158.
- Woosley, R. L., Whyte, J., Mohamadi, A., & Romero, K. (2016). Medical decision support systems and therapeutics: the role of autopilots. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 99(2), 161-164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.259>
- Yourman, L., Concato, J. and Agostini, J.V. (2008). 'Use of computer decision support interventions to improve medication prescribing in older adults: A systematic review', *American Journal of Geriatric Pharmacotherapy* 6(2), 119-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjopharm.2008.06.001>
- Yu, P. P. (2015). Knowledge bases, clinical decision support systems, and rapid learning in oncology. *Journal of oncology practice*, 11(2), e206-e211. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.2014.000620>
- Zhang, Y. F., Tian, Y., Zhou, T. S., Araki, K., & Li, J. S. (2016). Integrating HL7 RIM and ontology for unified knowledge and data representation in clinical decision support systems. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 123, 94-108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2015.09.020>
- Zheng, K. (2017). Clinical decision-support systems. In *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences* (pp. 974-982). CRC Press.